

Utilization of standard half-life or extended half-life products in members with Hemophilia A prescribed Hemlibra®

Natalie Watkins, PharmD: Cliff Rutter, PharmD, PhD: Elisea Avalos-Reves, PhD: Kellv McAuliff, PharmD: Chen Liu. PhD: Rashmi Grover. PharmD; Lucia Feczko, RPh; Dorothea Verbrugge, MD; Kjel Johnson, PharmD



Background

- Prophylaxis with factor or nonfactor products, such as Hemlibra®, is the current standard of care to reduce bleeding events in members with severe hemophilia A
- Despite the efficacy of Hemlibra®, members should always have factor product on-hand for prompt self-management of any breakthrough bleeds
- Treatment of an acute bleed can be accomplished with either standard half-life (SHL) or an extended half-life (EHL) factor product

Objective

- Assess how frequently members with hemophilia A who were prescribed Hemlibra® fill prescriptions for factor products
- Report the percent of members filling prescriptions for SHL and EHL factor products
- Evaluate the annualized bleed rate (ABR) by SHL and EHL
- Report the number of specialty pharmacy (SP) dispenses by SHL and EHL

- · A cohort of hemophilia A members from a large national healthcare payor in the US were observed from 3/31/20 to
- Eligibility criteria included males >18v who received Hemlibra® for at least 6 months and had continuous plan enrollment for at least 6 months after the first Hemlibra® dose
- Annualized bleeding rate (ABR) was defined as the number of bleeding episodes/number of person-years observed
- Factor utilization was defined as the number of SP dispenses/number of person-months observed
- Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was used to adjust for
- Student's t and Chi-square tests assessed differences between groups; p-value ≤0.05 was significant

Results

- Mean (standard deviation (SD)) age was 32.2 (10.9) years
- Most members (38/53, 71.7%) filled a factor product; 71.1% (27/38) filled an SHL product
- Members filling factor products had higher comorbidities compared to members not filling factor products (1.7 vs 0.3 p =0.009)
- Overall, the ABR was low with less than 1 (0.95 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.79-1.13]) bleeding episode per person year
- While prescribed Hemlibra®, the ABR was significantly higher in the SHL (1.02 (0.75-1.36)) group compared to the EHL (0.34 (0.15-0.67)) group (p=0.002)
- There were no differences in SP dispenses between members filling EHL versus SHL products (3.0 (4.0) vs 1.9 (4.7), p=0.5)

igure 1: Study Inclusi	on Flowchart		
Total Hemophilia A patients screened: N=2,981	Patients receiving Hemlibra*: N=143	.	Included in final analysis: N=53
		Exclud	led
	Received Hemli	bra* <6 mon	ths: 49

Female gender listed: 1

Variable	Overall N=53	No Factor N = 15 (28.3%)	Factor N = 38 (71.7%)	P-Value
Age, mean (SD)	32.2 (10.9)	31.1 (7.4)	32.6 (12.0)	0.569
Age, median [Q1,Q3]	30.0 [24.0,38.0]	30.0 [25.5,35.5]	30.5 [24.0,38.75]	0.913
Years of observation, mean (SD)	2.6 (1.1)	2.3 (1.1)	2.7 (1.1)	0.225
Years of observation, median [Q1,Q3]	2.8 [1.5,3.6]	2.6 [1.0,3.2]	3.0 [1.7,3.8]	0.112
Years of observation prior to first Hemlibra® dose, mean (SD)	0.88 (0.93)	0.84 (0.96)	0.89 (0.93)	0.863
Years of observation prior to first Hemlibra® dose, median [Q1,Q3]	0.41 [0.1,1.57]	0.37 [0.11,1.40]	0.458 [0.09,1.51]	0.836
Years of observation after first Hemlibra® dose, mean (SD)	1.73 (0.77)	1.46 (0.80)	1.84 (0.75)	0.132
Years of observation after first Hemlibra® dose, median [Q1,Q3]	1.63 [1.01,2.55]	1.12 [0.77,2.24]	1.64 [1.18,2.61]	0.058
New Hemlibra® patient, n (%)*	15 (62.5)	3 (50.0)	12 (66.7)	0.635
Total Hemlibra® fills, mean (SD)	22.4 (12.0)	18.0 (9.3)	24.1 (12.6)	0.062
Total Hemlibra® fills, median [Q1,Q3] *29 excluded due to lookback period: SD: Stan	20.0 [13.0,28.0]	14.0 [11.5,21.0]	22.0 [16.25,28.75]	0.051

Table	2:	Comorbidities

Variable	Overall	No Factor	Factor	P-Value
Charlson score, mean (SD)	1.3 (2.7)	0.3 (0.6)	1.7 (3.1)	0.009
Charlson score, median [Q1,Q3]	0 [0,1]	0 [0,0]	0 [0,1]	0.333
PVD, n (%)	2 (3.8)		2 (5.3)	1
CVD, n (%)	1 (1.9)		1 (2.6)	1
COPD, n (%)	1 (1.9)	1 (6.7)		0.283
Diabetes, n (%)	2 (3.8)	1 (6.7)	1 (2.6)	0.49
Diabetes with complications, n (%)	1 (1.9)	1 (6.7)		0.283
Renal disease, n (%)	1 (1.9)		1 (2.6)	1
Liver disease (mild), n (%)	6 (11.3)	1 (6.7)	5 (13.2)	0.662
Liver disease, n (%)	1 (1.9)		1 (2.6)	1
AIDS, n (%)	8 (15.1)		8 (21.1)	0.088
Cancer, n (%)	2 (3.8)		2 (5.3)	1

Table 3: Factor utilization by post-Hemlibra® factor status

Variable	Overall	No Factor	Factor	P-Value
	Pre-He	mlibra®		
SHL use, n (%)	16 (30.2)	5 (33.3)	11 (28.9)	0.751
SHL fills, mean (SD)	4.1 (8.7)	4.3 (8.2)	4.0 (9.0)	0.89
EHL use, n (%)	9 (17.0)	2 (13.3)	7 (18.4)	1
EHL fills, mean (SD)	2.5 (11.8)	0.3 (0.9)	3.3 (13.9)	0.196
	Post-He	emlibra®		
SHL use, n (%)	27 (50.9)		27 (71.0)	
SHL fills, mean (SD)	2.2 (3.7)		3.0 (4.0)	
EHL use, n (%)	11 (20.8)		11 (28.9)	
EHL fills, mean (SD)	1.4 (4.0)		1.9 (4.7)	

Table 4: Factor utilization in utilizers in both periods					
	Pre-Hemlibra® N=12	Post-Hemlibra® N=12	P-value		
Number factor fills, mean (SD)	21.8 (22.1)	7.6 (7.5)	0.054		
Person Months observed, mean (SD)	24.7 (7.0)	19.0 (8.0)	0.077		
Factor fills per person month, mean (SD)	0.9 (0.8)	0.4 (0.3)	0.051		
Fill rate (Fills per person month)	0.884 (0.78 - 1.0)	0.4 (0.32 - 0.49)			
IRD	-0.485 (-0	<0.0001			
IRR	0.452 (0.35 - 0.58) < 0.0				

Table 5: Bleeding events by factor use and period

Point of Contact: Natalie Watkins, PharmD

Variable	Overall	No Factor	Factor	P-Value
Any bleed, n (%)	24 (45.3)	7 (46.7)	17 (44.7)	1
Number of bleeds, mean (SD)	2.5 (5.8)	3.3 (5.6)	2.2 (5.9)	0.528
Any Major bleed, n (%)	13 (24.5)	3 (20.0)	10 (26.3)	0.736
Number of major bleeds, mean (SD)	0.38 (1.0)	0.2 (0.4)	0.45 (1.2)	0.271
	Pre-Hemlibra	a® period		
Any bleed, n (%)	12 (22.6)	4 (26.7)	8 (21.1)	0.722
Number of bleeds, mean (SD)	1.0 (3.2)	1.7 (3.7)	0.7 (2.9)	0.378
Number of major bleeds, mean (SD)	0.07 (0.27)	0.07 (0.26)	0.08 (0.27)	0.879
Hemarthrosis, mean (SD)	0.04 (0.19)	0.0 (0.0)	0.05 (0.23)	0.16
Joint pain, mean (SD)	0.91 (3.0)	1.6 (3.5)	0.6 (2.8)	0.348
Effusion, mean (SD)	0.02 (0.14)	0.07 (0.26)	0.0 (0.0)	0.334
Hemorrhage, mean (SD)	0.02 (0.14)	0.0 (0.0)	0.03 (0.16)	0.324
	Post-Hemlibi	a® period		
Any bleed, n (%)	19 (35.8)	6 (40.0)	13 (34.2)	0.938
Number of bleeds, mean (SD)	1.5 (3.6)	1.6 (3.9)	1.4 (3.5)	0.897
Number of major bleeds, mean (SD)	0.3 (1.0)	0.1 (0.3)	0.4 (1.2)	0.278
Hemarthrosis, mean (SD)	0.2 (0.85)	0.0 (0.0)	0.24 (1.0)	0.152
Joint pain, mean (SD)	1.2 (3.3)	1.5 (4.0)	1.1 (3.1)	0.737
Effusion, mean (SD)	0.04 (0.19)	0.0 (0.0)	0.05 (0.23)	0.16
Hemorrhage, mean (SD)	0.09 (0.35)	0.13 (0.35)	0.08 (0.36)	0.618
SD: Standard Deviation; Q1: first quartile; Q3:	third quartile			,

Table 6: Annualized bleeding rates by factor use and period

Variable	Overall	No Factor	Factor	P-Value
Total Person Years Observed	138. 2	34.5	103.6	
Total Person Years Observed pre-Hemlibra®	46.4	12.6	33.8	
Total Person Years Observed post-Hemlibra®	91.7	21.9	69.8	
Total Bleeds	131	49	82	
ABR	0.95 (0.79-1.12)	1.42 (1.05-1.87)	0.79 (0.63-0.98)	
IRD		-0.627 (-1.	00, -0.252)	0.0011
IRR		0.558 (0.3	387-0.812)	0.0017
Total bleeds pre- Hemlibra®	52	25	27	
ABR	1.12 (0.84-1.47)	1.98 (1.28-2.93)	0.8 (0.53-1.16)	
IRD		-1.19 (-1.	.87, -0.5)	0.0007
IRR		0.4 (0.2	22-0.72)	0.0014
Total bleeds post- Hemlibra®	79	24	55	
ABR	0.86 (0.68-1.07)	1.09 (0.7-1.63)	0.79 (0.59-1.03)	
IRD		-0.3 (-0.	75 - 0.14)	0.1794
IRR			44-1.22)	0.1878
ABR: Annualized bleeding rate; IRD: Incidence	e rate difference; IRR: I	Incidence rate ratio		

Table 7: Annualized bleeding rates by factor type utilized						
Variable	Overall	EHL	SHL	p-value		
Total Person Years Observed	103.6	31.0	72.6			
Total Person Years Observed post-Hemlibra®	69.8	23.6	46.1			
Total Bleeds	82	10	72			
ABR	0.79 (0.63-0.98)	0.32 (0.15-0.59)	0.99 (0.78 - 1.25)			
IRD		0.67 (0.3	29-1.04)	0.0005		
IRR		3.07 (1.5	8-6.68)	0.0002		
Total bleeds post-Hemlibra®	55	8	47			
ABR	0.79 (0.59-1.03)	0.34 (0.15-0.67)	1.02 (0.75-1.36)			
IRD		0.68 (0.	24-1.12)	0.0025		
IRR		3.01 (1.4	41-7.37)	0.0014		
ABR: Annualized bleeding rate	e; IRD: Incidence rate differ	ence; IRR: Incidence rate rat	io			

- When prescribed Hemlibra®, most members filled a factor product (more filled SHL products than EHL products) and had low ABR
- We observed a higher ABR in those who filled an SHL product versus an EHL product, however the sample size is a limitation of this study as well as other underlying confounders