Healthcare Resource Utilization of Radicava ORS[®] (Oral Edaravone)–Treated Patients With Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Enrolled in a US-Based Administrative Claims Database

Malgorzata Ciepielewska, MS¹; Jeffrey Zhang, PhD²; Ying Liu, PhD²; Polina Da Silva, MSc¹ ¹Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America, Inc., Jersey City, New Jersey, USA; ²Princeton Pharmatech, LLC, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

Introduction

- Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a fatal neurodegenerative condition that causes neuron cell death, progressive muscular weakness, and paralysis¹
- In 2017, ALS had an estimated prevalence of 5.5-9.9 per 100,000 United States (US) population²
- Riluzole was the first US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved treatment for ALS in December 1995³
- Radicava[®] (edaravone) IV (intravenous; Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America [MTPA], hereafter "MTPA IV edaravone") was approved by the FDA in 2017 for the treatment of ALS and has been shown in clinical trials to slow the rate of physical functional decline⁴
- In a phase 3 trial, MTPA IV edaravone was shown to slow down the rate of functional decline by 33% (P=0.0013), as measured by the ALS Functional Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFRS-R), compared with placebo at 24 weeks⁵
- Subsequently, Radicava ORS[®] (edaravone) oral suspension (MTPA, hereafter "MTPA oral edaravone") was FDA approved for use in patients with ALS in May 2022⁴
- Additionally, the FDA has approved tofersen for use in patients with ALS with a superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) gene mutation in April 2023⁶
- Finally, sodium phenylbutyrate and taurursodiol (PB-TURSO), an oral, fixed-dose combination therapy, was FDA approved in September 2022 after positive results from a phase 2 clinical trial⁷
- But after phase 3 study showed negative results, PB-TURSO was voluntarily withdrawn from US and Canadian markets in April 2024⁸
- ALS clinical trials present a challenge due to disease heterogeneity; therefore, although randomized controlled trials are considered the gold standard, research studies employing real-world evidence can provide supplemental data⁹

Objective

• To describe demographics, treatment utilization, pre-index disease progression milestones, and preliminary data on healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) of MTPA oral edaravone-treated patients with ALS in this real-world, observational, US-based administrative claims analysis

Methods

Study Design

- The Optum Clinformatics[®] Data Mart (CDM) is statistically de-identified under the expert determination method consistent with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, and is managed according to Optum customer data use agreements
- The database includes up to 19 million annual covered lives. The population is geographically diverse, spanning all 50 states
- CDM administrative claims submitted for payment by providers and pharmacies are verified, adjudicated, and de-identified prior to inclusion. These data, including patient-level enrollment information, are derived from claims submitted for all medical and pharmacy healthcare services with information related to healthcare costs and resource utilization (Figure 1)

- divided into 2 groups:
- oral edaravone
- Group 2 received MTPA oral edaravone and was previously MTPA edaravone-naïve
- The index date was the first dosing date of MTPA oral edaravone
- HCRU was evaluated by group and by Medicare vs commercial insurance
- coverage

Figure 1. Optum Clinformatics[®] Data Mart



Statistical Analyses

Descriptive analysis

Results

Utilization

Patients with ALS who were continuously enrolled in Optum's de-identified CDM from June 15, 2022, through June 30, 2023, were included and

- Group 1 initially received MTPA IV edaravone and switched to MTPA

 Assessed descriptively using counts and percentages for categorical variables and measures of central tendency (mean/median/standard deviation/interguartile range) for continuous variables

Patient Demographics, Insurance Coverage, and ALS Treatment

• Demographics, insurance coverage, and ALS treatment utilization are reported for MTPA oral edaravone-treated patients with ALS (N=375), which included 69 patients who initially received MTPA IV edaravone and switched to MTPA oral edaravone, and 306 patients who received MTPA oral edaravone and were previously MTPA edaravone-naïve (Table 1)

Table 1. Patient Demographics, Insurance Coverage, and ALS **Treatment Utilization**

	Switched From MTPA IV to MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=69)	Initiated With MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=306)	Total (N=375)
Age Group, n (%)			
18–39	4 (5.8)	2 (0.7)	6 (1.6)
40–49	9 (13.0)	16 (5.2)	25 (6.7)
50–59	13 (18.8)	63 (20.6)	76 (20.3)
60–69	30 (43.5)	110 (35.9)	140 (37.3)
70–79	10 (14.5)	98 (32.0)	108 (28.8)
80+	3 (4.3)	17 (5.6)	20 (5.3)
Age (years)			
Mean (SD)	60.9 (11.9)	65.2 (9.87)	64.4 (10.4)
Median [Min, Max]	62.0 [30.0, 83.0]	66.0 [34.0, 87.0]	65.0 [30.0, 87.0]
Sex, n (%)	20 (EC E)	105 (52.0)	204(E4A)
Male	39 (50.5)	105 (53.9)	204 (54.4)
Paco n (%)	30 (43.3)	141 (40.1)	171 (45.0)
White	52 (75 <i>A</i>)	233 (76 1)	285 (76 0)
Black	2 (2 9)	21 (6 9)	203 (70.0)
Other	12 (17 4)	27 (8.8)	39 (10 4)
Unknown	3 (4.3)	25 (8.2)	28 (7.5)
Region, n (%)			()
Midwest	16 (23.2)	68 (22.2)	84 (22.4)
Northeast	10 (14.5)	47 (15.4)	57 (15.2)
South	29 (42.0)	118 (38.6)	147 (39.2)
West	14 (20.3)	72 (23.5)	86 (22.9)
Unknown	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)
Payer, n (%)			
Medicare	46 (66.7)	214 (69.9)	260 (69.3)
Commercial	23 (33.3)	92 (30.1)	115 (30.7)
Riluzole, n (%)			
Yes	65 (94.2)	266 (86.9)	331 (88.3)
No	4 (5.8)	40 (13.1)	44 (11.7)
PB-TURSO, n (%)			
Yes	29 (42.0)	1/9 (58.5)	208 (55.5)
NO Overall Treatment Duration (menthe)	40 (58.0)	127 (41.5)	167 (44.5)
Moon (SD)	27 0 (16 0)	1 26 (2 11)	9 15 (11 0)
Median [Min May]	27.0 (10.0) 21.3 [3.07.67.8]	4.20 (3.44 <i>)</i> 3 02 10 03 12 11	0.40 (11.0) 4 73 [0 03 67 8]
	21.3 [3.07, 67.8]	3.92 [0.03, 12.4]	4.73 [0.03, 67.8]

Pre-index Disease Progression Milestones

- The percentage of MTPA oral edaravone-treated patients in each group are listed in Table 2
- A higher percentage of patients who switched from MTPA IV edaravone to milestones vs those who initiated with MTPA oral edaravone, although most patients in both groups did not reach each of the milestones

Table 2. Pre-index Disease Progression Milestones of Patients With ALS*

	Switched From MTPA IV to MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=69)	Initiated With MTPA Oral Edaravone	Total (N=375)
Pre-index Use of Canes/Walkers	/Wheelchairs. n (%)	(11-000)	(11-070)
Yes	27 (39.1)	54 (17.6)	81 (21.6)
No	42 (60.9)	252 (82.4)	294 (78.4)
Pre-index Use of Artificial Nutriti	on, n (%)		()
Yes	22 (31.9)	50 (16.3)	72 (19.2)
No	47 (68.1)	256 (83.7)	303 (80.8)
Pre-index Use of Non-invasive V	entilation, n (%)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Yes	27 (39.1)	63 (20.6)	90 (24.0)
No	42 (60.9)	243 (79.4)	285 (76.0)
Pre-index Use of Invasive Ventila	ation, n (%)		· · · ·
Yes	1 (1.4)	4 (1.3)	5 (1.3)
No	68 (98.6)	302 (98.7)	370 (98.7)
Pre-index Hospitalization , n (%)			
Yes	25 (36.2)	80 (26.1)	105 (28.0)
No	44 (63.8)	226 (73.9)	270 (72.0)
Pre-index Use of Gastrostomy T	ube, n (%)		
Yes	14 (20.3)	36 (11.8)	50 (13.3)
No	55 (79.7)	270 (88.2)	325 (86.7)

The index date was the first dosing date of MTPA oral edaravone

Pre-index HCRU of MTPA Oral Edaravone-Treated Patients With ALS Based on Insurance Coverage

Pre-index HCRU was recorded for MTPA oral edaravone-treated patients in

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; IV, intravenous; MTPA, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America; PB-TURSO, sodium phenylbutyrate-taurursodiol; SD, standard deviation

who reached certain disease progression milestones before the index date

MTPA oral edaravone reached each of the pre-index disease progression

each group based on Medicare vs commercial insurance coverage (Table 3)

- The number of Medicare-covered patients was at least double than the number of patients covered by commercial insurance in each group
- Most patients in both groups experienced ≥1 pre-index outpatient visit and pharmacy prescription
- Most patients in both groups did not experience ≥ 1 pre-index inpatient admission or emergency room visit

Table 3. Pre-index HCRU of MTPA Oral Edaravone-Treated Patients With ALS Based on Insurance Coverage

	Switched From MTPA IV to MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=69)		Initiated With MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=306)		Total (N= <u>375)</u>	
_	Medicare (n=46)	Commercial (n=23)	Medicare (n=214)	Commercial (n=92)	Medicare (n=260)	Commercial (n=115)
≥1 Pre-index Inpatient	Admission, n (%)					
Yes	5 (10.9)	8 (34.8)	32 (15.0)	12 (13.0)	37 (14.2)	20 (17.4)
No	41 (89.1)	15 (65.2)	182 (85.0)	80 (87.0)	223 (85.8)	95 (82.6)
Number of Pre-index I	npatient Admissio	ns, n (%)				
Mean (SD)	2.07 (6.22)	7.04 (11.0)	5.57 (20.8)	3.60 (12.0)	4.95 (19.1)	4.29 (11.9)
Median [Min, Max]	0 [0, 29.0]	0 [0, 34.0]	0 [0, 189]	0 [0, 79.0]	0 [0, 189]	0 [0, 79.0]
≥1 Pre-index Outpatie	nt Visit, n (%)					
Yes	33 (71.7)	18 (78.3)	152 (71.0)	72 (78.3)	185 (71.2)	90 (78.3)
No	13 (28.3)	5 (21.7)	62 (29.0)	20 (21.7)	75 (28.8)	25 (21.7)
Number of Pre-index (Dutpatient Visits, n	(%)				
Mean (SD)	38.8 (76.0)	78.3 (106)	31.8 (54.0)	34.0 (47.0)	33.1 (58.4)	42.8 (65.3)
Median [Min, Max]	8.00 [0, 423]	32.0 [0, 400]	9.00 [0, 368]	15.5 [0, 249]	9.00 [0, 423]	23.0 [0, 400]
≥1 Pre-index Emergen	cy Room Visit, n (%	⁄₀)				
Yes	20 (43.5)	11 (47.8)	66 (30.8)	39 (42.4)	86 (33.1)	50 (43.5)
No	26 (56.5)	12 (52.2)	148 (69.2)	53 (57.6)	174 (66.9)	65 (56.5)
Number of Pre-index E	Emergency Room \	/isits, n (%)				
Mean (SD)	1.50 (2.13)	2.96 (4.54)	1.21 (2.35)	1.71 (2.82)	1.27 (2.31)	1.96 (3.25)
Median [Min, Max]	0 [0, 8.00]	0 [0, 18.0]	0 [0, 12.0]	0 [0, 16.0]	0 [0, 12.0]	0 [0, 18.0]
≥1 Pre-index Pharmac	y Prescription, n (%	%)				
Yes	43 (93.5)	23 (100)	188 (87.9)	81 (88.0)	231 (88.8)	104 (90.4)
No	3 (6.5)	0	26 (12.1)	11 (12.0)	29 (11.2)	11 (9.6)
Number of Pre-index F	Pharmacy Prescrip	tions, n (%)				
Mean (SD)	37.8 (31.3)	96.7 (119)	31.3 (48.3)	58.5 (110)	32.4 (45.8)	66.1 (113)
Median [Min, Max]	30.5 [0, 118]	47.0 [1.00, 389]	16.0 [0, 326]	20.0 [0, 668]	18.0 [0, 326]	27.0 [0, 668]

Post-index HCRU of MTPA Oral Edaravone-Treated Patients With ALS Based on Insurance Coverage

• Post-index HCRU was recorded for MTPA oral edaravone-treated patients in each group based on Medicare vs commercial insurance coverage (Table 4)

Table 4. Post-index HCRU of MTPA Oral Edaravone-Treated Patients With ALS Based on Insurance Coverage

_	Switched From MTPA IV to MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=69)		Initiated With MTPA Oral Edaravone (n=306)			
	Medicare (n=46)	Commercial (n=23)	Medicare (n=214)	Commercial (n=92)	Medicar (n=260)	
≥1 Post-index Inpatien	nt Admission, n (%)					
Yes	4 (8.7)	2 (8.7)	33 (15.4)	11 (12.0)	37 (14.2	
No	42 (91.3)	21 (91.3)	181 (84.6)	81 (88.0)	223 (85.8	
Number of Post-index	Inpatient Admissio	ons, n (%)				
Mean (SD)	1.67 (6.46)	2.17 (7.51)	3.23 (9.28)	2.07 (5.93)	2.96 (8.8	
Median [Min, Max]	0 [0, 30.0]	0 [0, 32.0]	0 [0, 57.0]	0 [0, 27.0]	0 [0, 57.0	
≥1 Post-index Outpation	ent Visit, n (%)					
Yes	22 (47.8)	11 (47.8)	107 (50.0)	36 (39.1)	129 (49.0	
No	24 (52.2)	12 (52.2)	107 (50.0)	56 (60.9)	131 (50.4	
Number of Post-index	Outpatient Visits, r	า (%)				
Mean (SD)	9.02 (14.9)	9.35 (16.7)	10.1 (17.6)	10.9 (25.1)	9.88 (17.	
Median [Min, Max]	0 [0, 57.0]	0 [0, 56.0]	0.500 [0, 94.0]	0 [0, 128]	0 [0, 94.	
≥1 Post-index Emerge	ncy Room Visit, n (%)				
Yes	7 (15.2)	3 (13.0)	55 (25.7)	18 (19.6)	62 (23.8	
No	39 (84.8)	20 (87.0)	159 (74.3)	74 (80.4)	198 (76.2	
Number of Post-index	Emergency Room	Visits, n (%)				
Mean (SD)	0.54 (1.56)	0.52 (1.38)	0.86 (2.04)	0.51 (1.21)	0.80 (1.9	
Median [Min, Max]	0 [0, 8.00]	0 [0, 4.00]	0 [0, 19.0]	0 [0, 6.00]	0 [0, 19.	
≥1 Post-index Pharma	cy Prescription, n (%)				
Yes	27 (58.7)	12 (52.2)	143 (66.8)	46 (50.0)	170 (65.4	
No	19 (41.3)	11 (47.8)	71 (33.2)	46 (50.0)	90 (34.6	
Number of Post-index	Pharmacy Prescrip	otions, n (%)				
Mean (SD)	19.2 (21.2)	18.0 (22.5)	15.1 (18.9)	13.2 (20.0)	15.8 (19.	
Median [Min, Max]	14.5 [0, 69.0]	4.00 [0, 58.0]	10.0 [0, 138]	0.500 [0, 79.0]	10.0 [0, 13	

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; IV, intravenous; MTPA, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America; SD, standard deviation,







Limitations

- This study was limited only to patients with ALS who had commercial health coverage or Medicare Advantage plans. Consequently, results of this analysis may not be generalizable to patients with ALS with other insurance plans or without health insurance coverage
- This study relied on administrative claims data, which are subject to coding limitations and entry error. The possibility of underdiagnosis of ALS may have led to a selection bias and/or smaller sample sizes, as patients with ALS who were untreated or who did not have a relevant diagnosis recorded on their medical claims were excluded
- Patients who were no longer enrolled in the Optum CDM database during the post-index period were excluded from the analysis. Therefore, the study population may appear to have been healthier than the total population of patients with ALS in the database

Conclusions

- This study is ongoing, with additional results expected in future analyses
- These real-world data may help clinicians and payers better understand the demographics, ALS treatment utilization, disease progression milestones, and HCRU of MTPA oral edaravone-treated patients with ALS with Medicare or commercial insurance coverage

References

1. Goutman SA, Hardiman O, Al-Chalabi A, et al. Lancet Neurol. 2022;21(5):465-479. **2.** Mehta P, Raymond J, Punjani R, et al. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal* Degen. 2023;24(1-2):108-116. 3. Rilutek[®] (riluzole). Package insert. Bridgewater, NJ:Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC; 2012. **4.** Radicava[®] (edaravone) IV and Radicava ORS[®] (edaravone) oral suspension Prescribing Information. Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation; 2022. 5. Writing Group; Edaravone (MCI-186) ALS 19 Study Group. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16(7):505-512. 6. QALSODY[®] (tofersen) injection. Prescribing Information. Cambridge, MA: Biogen MA Inc.; April 2023. 7. Relyvrio[™] (sodium phenylbutyrate and taurursodiol). Prescribing Information. Cambridge MA: Amylyx Pharmaceuticals Inc.; September 2022. 8. Amylyx Pharmaceuticals. April 4, 2024. Accessed April 8, 2024. https://www.amylyx.com/news/amylyx-pharmaceuticals-announces-formal-intention-toremove-relyvrior/albriozatm-from-the-market-provides-updates-on-access-to-therapypipeline-corporate-restructuring-and-strategy **9**. Berger ML, Sox H, Willke RJ, et al. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2017;26:1033-1039.

Acknowledgments

• The authors thank Irene Brody, VMD, PhD, of *p*-value communications, Cedar Knolls, NJ, USA, for providing medical writing support. Editorial support was also provided by *p*-value communications. This support was funded by Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America, Inc., Jersey City, NJ, USA, in accordance with Good Publication Practice Guidelines 2022.

Disclosures

- MC and PDS are employees of Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America, Inc. JZ and YL are employees of Princeton Pharmatech, which has received consultancy fees from Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America, Inc.
- This study was sponsored by Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma America, Inc.

Scan here to view a PDF of this poster. Copies obtained through quick response (QR) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission from the authors.

