The Impact of Patient Characteristics and Social Drivers of Health Factors on Oral

Oncolytic Adherence

Sheila Haidar, Priyanka Patneedi

Stanford Health Care - Palo Alto, CA

Background

Current Landscape of Oral Oncolvtics · 25-35% of all current oncolytics are designed for oral use

Stanford

MEDICINE **Health Care**

Oral Medicatio	on vs. Traditional IV Medication
Advantages	Challenges
Convenient	Financial cost
Patient autonomy	Less contact with treatment providers
Quality of life	Adherence

The extent of adherence to oral oncolvtics varies from 16 – 100%, depending on the cancer type, method used to measure nonadherence, and patient settings³

Social Determinants of Health (SDOH) Factors are Known to Impact Medication Adherence

- SDOH are the non-medical factors that influence health outcome - conditions in which people are born, grown, work, live, and age4 Multiple studies have shown that various SDOH factors are associated with medication adherence across multiple chronic conditions (e.g., diabetes, hypertension
- asthma) and cancer 3,5,6,7 Objectives

Part 1: Identify patient characteristics and SDOH factors associated with secondary oral oncolytic nonadherence Part 2: Assess what SDOH barriers and patient characteristics are associated with primary oral oncolytic nonadherence

1 Stu	OCH	~ ~
	 	-

- Adults 18+ who received at least 2 fills of an oral oncolytic between Study May 2022 and April 2023 Population: Final cohort: N = 1,128 prescriptions, n = 979 patients
- Data Patient characteristics (pulled from electronic records) Age, gender, race/ethnicity, marital status, occupation. Collected: language and if interpreter needed, insurance type, smoking history, body mass index (BMI), population density, median household income, geographic code
 - Proportion of days covered (PDC) = (
 days covered in observation period
 number of days in observation
 extra set to be a set) v 100
- Analysis: Multivariable mixed-effect logistic regression

Part 2		

	i dit i otday besign	Smoker								
		SINOREI			_					
Study	 Adults 18+ who were prescribed a new oral oncolytic between 	BMI								
Population:	November 2023 and January 2024	18.5-24.9 (Healthy Weight)		Reference a						
	 Final cohort: N=340 patients who answered the SDOH assessment and N = 176 patients who did not answer the SDOH assessment 	25.0-29.9 (Overweight)	-+	=	oup					
	N – 170 patients who did not answer the 3DOH assessment	30 or Higher (Obese)	-							
	Record and the second se	Below 18.5 (Underweight)	_							
Data Collected:	 Primary oral oncolytic nonadherence (if a new prescription has not been filled within 30 days) 	Geographic Code								
conected:	Patient characteristics & additional SDOH assessment	Metropolitan Area		Reference gi	roup					
		Other (MICROPOLITAN + RURAL + SMALL TOWN)	-							
Analysis:	Logistic regression		0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14
				~		0	0	10	11	1

Results:

Characteristics

Below 65

Female

Male

White

Black

Asian

Unknowr

Employed

English

Non-Employed

Interpretation

Interpretation

Non-English with

Not Recorded

Other

65 and Olde

Hispanic/Latino

Married/Life Partner

Not Married/Life Pa

Ion-English withou

ct	Characteristics	Nonadherence	Multivariable Mixed-Effect				
		Rate (%)	Logistic Regression				
Value			Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value		
	Insurance						
	Private	43 / 175 (25%)	Ref				
.8	Medicaid	42 / 175 (24%)	0.94	0.48, 1.85	0.9		
	Medicare	186 / 711 (26%)	1.21	0.65, 2.24	0.6		
	Other	3 / 9 (33%)	1.32	0.22, 7.83	0.8		
0.9	Not Recorded	16 / 58 (28%)	1.28	0.55, 2.98	0.6		
	Smoking						
	Never Smoked	197 / 771 (26%)	Ref				
.8	Former Smoker	85 / 336 (25%)	1.05	0.72, 1.53	0.8		
.11	Smoker	8 / 17 (47%)	3.95	1.07, 14.6	0.040		
.9	BMI						
.7	18.5 - 24.9 (Healthy	126 / 444 (28%)	Ref				
.9	Weight)						
	25.0 - 29.9	109 / 403 (27%)	0.81	0.55, 1.20	0.3		
	(Overweight)						
.7	30 or Higher (Obese)	42 / 234 (18%)	0.41	0.24, 0.69	< 0.001		
	Below 18.5	12 / 42 (29%)	1.11	0.47, 2.65	0.8		
	(Underweight)						
.7	Geographic Code						
0.9	Metropolitan Area	276 / 1,049 (26%)	Ref				
	Other (Micropolitan +	14 / 79 (18%)	0.48	0.22, 1.04	0.062		
	Rural + Small Town)						
.050	Population Density						
	< 1,200	86 / 355 (24%)	Ref				
.13	≥ 5,700	97 / 393 (25%)	0.89	0.56, 1.41	0.6		
	1,200 - 5,700	105 / 372 (28%)	1.21	0.78, 1.89	0.4		
	Median Household	1					
	Income						
	< 96.000	184 / 687 (27%)	Ref				

Figure 2: Forest plots of patient characteristics that showed significant or trending associations with oral oncolvtic nonadherence

teference arou

≥ 120,000

96,000 - 120,000

Part 1

Table 1: Multivariable mixed-effect logistic regression examining the associations between patient characteristics and oral oncolytic nonadherence

Multivariable Mixed-Eff

0.71, 1.44 >

0.90, 2.77

0.64, 1.65

0.59, 2.14

75.1.56 0

0.55.1.52 0

0.57.1.68

0.04.1.00 0

0.39, 1.13

Reference arou

Reference grou;

-

Logistic Regression Odds Ratio 95% CI F

Vonadherence

96 / 376 (26%) Ref

194 / 752 (26%) 0.93

149 / 575 (26%) Ref 1.0 1.0

133 / 531 (25%) Ref

52 / 189 (28%) 1.58

68 / 267 (25%) 1.03

187 / 750 (25%) Ref

03 / 378 (27%) 1.08

156 / 613 (25%) 0.92

83 / 327 (25%) 0.98

237 / 886 (27%) Ref

2 / 23 (8.7%)

51 / 219 (23%)

Race/Ethnicity

White

Black

Asian

Other

Unknown

English

Smoking

Never Smoked

Former Smoker

Language and Interpreter

Non-English with Interpretation

Non-English without Interpretation

panic/Latino

25 / 95 (26%)

0.88

0.67

Rate (%)

Results: • 73.75% of SHC specialty pharmacy patients were adherent to their oral oncolvtic(s)

50 / 195 (26%) 0.76

54 / 238 (23%) 0.82

 Smokers had 3.95 higher odds of nonadherence compared to nonsmokers

Obese patients had 0.59 lower odds of nonadherence compared to normal weight patients

Trends:







0.47, 1.24 0.3

0.53.1.28 0.4



Patients were retrospectively contacted via phone and asked to participate by answering the following questions:

- Part 2 SDOH Assessment: In the past year, have you or any family members you live with been unable to get any food, utilities, childcare, medicine, or any
 - health care (medical, dental, mental health, vision) when it was needed?
 - If the answer to 1 is yes, then list the element
 - 2. What language are you most comfortable speaking?

Odds Ratio 95% CI P Value

- How often do you feel confident about reading and managing your prescription?
- 4. In the past 12 months, has lack of reliable transportation kept you from medical appointment, meetings, work, or from getting
- things needed for daily living? 5. If required, do you have access to assistance from friends, family, or other individuals to manage your oral medication regimen?

Table 2: Logistic regression examining the association between patient characteristics and the presence of any SDOH barrier (Full table in supplemental information)

Table 3: Logistic regression examining the association be	etween
SDOH barriers and primary medication adherence	

		erall Survey					
	Adherence Rate		Una	Unadjusted Association			
	n	%	OR	95% CI	P Value		
SDOH Barrier	109/135	80.74%		-			
No SDOH							
Barrier	70/78	89.74%	2.09	0.93, 5.17	0.089		

Table 4: Logistic regression examining the association between specific SDOH barriers and primary medication adherence

	Adherence Rate		Unadjusted Association			
	n	%	OR	95% CI	P Value	
Q1: Access to Goods and Services						
SDOH Barrier	18/23	78.26%	Ref			
No SDOH Barrier	161/190	84.74%	1.54	0.48, 4.22	0.4	
Q2: Language						
SDOH Barrier	42/49	85.71%	Ref			
No SDOH Barrier	137/164	83.54%	0.85	0.32, 1.99	0.7	
Q3: Health Literacy						
SDOH Barrier	57/74	77.03%	Ref			
No SDOH Barrier	122/139	87.77%	2.14	1.02, 4.52	0.044	
Q4: Transportation						
SDOH Barrier	24/25	96.00%	Ref			
No SDOH Barrier	154/187	82.35%	0.19	0.01, 0.97	0.11	
Q5: Support						
SDOH Barrier	46/57	80.70%	Ref			
No SDOH Barrier	131/154	85.06%	1.36	0.60, 2.96	0.4	

Results:

Characteristic

1 M

A

F

0

E

- Non-English primary language was associated with an almost 26 higher odds of reporting an SDOH barrier
- · Patients that identified no SDOH barriers had a strong trend of 2.09 higher odds of primary medication adherence
- Patients that specifically identified no SDOH barriers in health literacy had a 2.14 higher odds of primary medication adherence

Conclusion

Medication adherence in oncology is critical for maximizing treatment effectiveness, controlling cancer progression, improving outcomes, managing side effects, reducing costs, and empowering patients throughout their cancer journey. A better understanding of the SDOH factors associated with medication adherence, such as smoking and health literacy, can better inform healthcare workers and identify points of intervention



andraeteristie	ouusnuuo	5576 61	· value
lge			
elow 65	Ref		
5 and Older	0.82	0.38, 1.81	0.6
Gender			
emale	Ref		
/lale	1.37	0.81, 2.32	0.2
tace/Ethnicity			
Vhite	Ref		
Isian	0.62	0.33, 1.17	0.14
lispanic/Latino	1.06	0.40, 2.89	>0.9
Other/Not Recorded	0.77	0.33, 1.85	0.6
Aarital Status			
Aarried/Life Partner	Ref		
lot Married/Life Partner	0.99	0.57, 1.72	>0.9
Occupation			
mployed	Ref		
ion-Employed	1.22	0.60, 2.45	0.6
lot Recorded	0.94	0.48, 1.84	0.9
anguage			
nglish	Ref		
Ion-English	25.9	3.59, 581	0.007
nterpreter Needed			
'es	Ref		
lo	3.76	0.49, 80.3	0.3